

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Determination of Heavy Metals in Herbal Teas by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry

Limmatvapirat C^{1*}, Charoenteeraboon J² and Phaechamud T³

ABSTRACT

People get both beneficial and toxic effects on their health from the consumption of heavy metals in herbal teas. Owing to the importance of heavy metals present in herbal teas, this study was carried out to determine their concentrations in herbal teas. Herbal tea samples purchased in Nakhon Pathom, Thailand were digested with nitric acid and analyzed for 11 heavy metals by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The results of analysis showed that herbal tea samples contained high concentrations of Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn, Al, Mn, and Ni and low concentrations of As, Cd, Cr, and Hg. Under the Prevention of Herbal Tea Adulteration Act of Thailand the permissible limits have been fixed only for As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn. The concentrations of Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn in almost all samples were higher than permissible levels, whereas those of As and Cd from all 30 samples were lower than the permissible limits. Therefore, the analysis data from this current work should provide guidance for quality control of herbal teas.

Keywords: Heavy Metals, Herbal Teas, ICP-MS

*Corresponding author

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand

²Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand

³Department of Biopharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand



INTRODUCTION

The consumption of some herbal teas was associated with the reduction of serum cholesterol, prevention of low density lipoprotein oxidation, and reduction in risk of degenerative diseases like cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer [1]. However, some literatures reported that the concentrations of heavy metals in herbal teas were higher than the permissible limits under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act [2-4]. Furthermore, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), toxic heavy metals have to be controlled in herbal plants in order to assure their safety [5]. Therefore, the consumption of herbal teas might give the positive and negative effects on human health. Generally, the concentrations of heavy metals in herbal teas differed according to the types of herbal teas and geological conditions [6]. People widely consumed herbal teas such as Camellia sinensis (green tea), Morus alba (mulberry), Ginkqo biloba (ginkgo), Glycyrrhiza glabra (licorice) and Cinnamomum verum (cinnamon). They consumed herbal teas for medical purposes and promoting their health. The concentrations of heavy metals including aluminium (AI), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), mercury (Hg), manganese (Mn), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), and zinc (Zn) have not been reported in herbal teas available in Nakhon Pathom province, Thailand. Thus, this study was intended to determine the concentrations of 11 heavy metals in herbal teas that purchased from local markets and supermarkets in Nakhon Pathom province using nitric acid digestion followed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The data obtained could be used for the quality control process to ensure the purity of herbal teas in Thailand.

MATERAILS AND METHODS

Materials

The ultrapure water ASTM type I, 18.2 M Ω x cm used for analysis of heavy metals was generated by a TKA GenPure ultra pure water machine (TKA Wasseraufbereitungssysteme GmbH, Germany). Nitric acid for sample digestion was of analytical reagent grade (lot C08033, J.T. baker, USA). A stock solution of a multi-element 10 µg/mL calibration standard-2A (Lot#6-108VY, Agilent, USA) was prepared immediately in 5% v/v nitric acid solution prior to use. The glassware containers used for analysis were soaked overnight in 20% v/v nitric acid solution and rinsed several times with ultrapure water to eliminate metal contamination.

Herbal Tea Samples

Thirty types of herbal tea samples (Table 1) were purchased from local markets and supermarkets in Nakhon Pathom province, Thailand, in December 2010. All herbal tea samples were oven-dried at 60°C to a constant weight. Each sample was powdered with a stainless steel hammer mill with sieve no. 30 mesh and transferred into a plastic bag. All pulverized samples were kept in a desiccators at room temperature until analysis. All herbal teas were treated in an identical manner.



Table 1: Botanical name, Thai name, English name, and part used of herbal tea samples

| No | Botanical name | Thai name | English name | Part used | |
|----|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | Andrographis paniculata | Fa-ta-lai-choan | Andrographis | Leaf | |
| 2 | Caesalpinia sappan | Fang | Sappan wood | Heartwood | |
| 3 | Camellia sinensis | Cha-kiew | Green tea | Leaf | |
| 4 | Carthamus tinctorius | Dok-kam-foy | Safflower | Petal | |
| 5 | Cassia alata | Chum-hed | Ringworm bush | Leaf | |
| 6 | Centella asiatica | Bua-bok | Asiatic pennywort | Leaf | |
| 7 | Cinnamomum verum | Ob-choi-ted | Cinnamon | Bark | |
| 8 | Clitoria ternatea | An-chan | Butterfly pea | Flower | |
| 9 | Curcuma xanthorrhiza | Wan-chak-mot-luk | Java turmeric | Rhizome and root | |
| 10 | Cymbopogon citrates | Ta-krai | Lemongrass | Leaf | |
| 11 | Derris scandens | Tao-wan-priang | Jewel vine | Stem, Root | |
| 12 | Eupatorium odoratum | Ya-dok-kao | Christmas bush | Stem, Leaf | |
| 13 | Ganoderma Lucidum | Hed-lin-chue | Reishi | Fruiting body | |
| 14 | Ginkgo biloba | Pae-guay | Ginkgo | Leaf | |
| 15 | Glycyrrhiza glabra | Cha-em-taet | Licorice | Rhizome and root | |
| 16 | Hibiscus sabdariffa | Gra-chieb-daeng | Roselle | Flower | |
| 17 | Imperata cylindrical | Ya-kha | Cogongrass | Root | |
| 18 | Jasminum sambac | Mali | Jasmine | Flower | |
| 19 | Lagerstroemia speciosa | In-ta-nin-nam | Queen's flower | Leaf | |
| 20 | Momordica charantia | Mara-ki-nok | Bitter gourd | Fruit | |
| 21 | Moringa oleifera | Ma-room | Drumstick tree | Leaf | |
| 22 | Morus alba | Bai-mohn | Mulberry | Leaf | |
| 23 | Murdannia loriformis | Ya-pak-king | Angel grass | Whole plant | |
| 24 | Nelumbo nucifera | Bua-luang | Indian lotus | Pollen | |
| 25 | Orthosiphon aristatus | Ya-nuad-maew | Cat's whisker | Leaf | |
| 26 | Piper sarmentosum | Cha-plu | Wild betel | Leaf | |
| 27 | Senna alexandrina | Ma-kham-khaek | Senna | Leaf | |
| 28 | Stevia rebaudiana | Ya-wahn | Stevia | Aerial part | |
| 29 | Thunbergia lauriflolia | Rang-chued | Babbler's bill | Whole plant | |
| 30 | Tiliacora triandra | Ya-nang | Bamboo grass | Leaf | |

Sample Preparation

Approximately 1 g of each pulverized sample was accurately weighed and thoroughly mixed with 10 ml of 70% v/v nitric acid solution. The mixture was digested on a hot plate until the solution was clear. After cooling at room temperature, the digested solution was filtered and diluted to 10.0 ml with 5% v/v nitric acid solution. Triplicate digestions were made for each type of herbal tea. Samples prepared by this method were analyzed immediately by ICP-MS. Reagent blanks were also checked in parallel in all steps.

Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS)

The concentrations of 11 heavy metals (Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn) were determined in the digested solutions using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer



(Model 7500 ce, Agilent, Palo Alto, CA). The instrument was operated with flame mode conditions. Operating conditions were: RF power 1,500 w, carrier gas flow rate 0.9 l/min, make up gas flow rate 0.16 l/min, and nebulizer pump 0.1 rps.

Validation Method

The parameters of method validation including trueness by recovery at three levels of concentration, range of linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), and repeatability were evaluated. The procedure and calculation were modified according to the European Standard for the analyses of heavy metals [7]. Analysis of reagent blanks showed that there was no contamination or interference from the reagents. The quantitative determinations of heavy metals in all samples were done using calibration curves obtained from diluted stock standard solution 10 μ g/mL. All results represented means from triplicate determinations and are quoted on a dry weight basis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data Validation: The calibration curves for As, Cd, Cr, and Hg were prepared in the range of 0.01-500 µg/L. For determination of Al, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn, the calibration solutions were ranged from 0.01 to 5,000 µg/L. All calibration curves showed good linear regression ($r^2 \ge$ 0.9997) within the concentration ranges. Linearity was determined from the regression plots by the least squares method and expressed as the correlation coefficient (r²) in the range of 0.9993-0.9999. The regression equations and correlation coefficients of Al was y = 0.57254X + 1.99788 ($r^2 = 0.9998$), for As y = 0.02548X + 0.01758 ($r^2 = 0.9996$), for Cd y = 0.04123X + 0.03412 $(r^2 = 0.9996)$, for Cr y = 0.03618X + 0.04689 $(r^2 = 0.9994)$, for Cu y = 0.40136X + 0.99858 $(r^2 = 0.9994)$ 0.9997), for Fe y = 0.54325X - 0.42369 ($r^2 = 0.9997$), for Hg y = 0.02369X - 0.01402 ($r^2 = 0.9997$) 0.9994), for Mn y = 0.45639X + 0.32123 (r^2 = 0.9998), for Ni y = 0.06935X + 0.12596 (r^2 = 0.9998), for Pb y = 0.59632X + 0.56982 ($r^2 = 0.9996$), and for Zn y = 0.33698X + 0.58956 ($r^2 = 0.9996$) 0.9995). Accuracy was validated by the percentages of recovery of the standard solutions added to the samples during digestion. The average recovery was 94.58-118.47% in 11 heavy metals. The LOD and LOQ were defined as 3 and 10 times of the standard deviation of ten measurements, respectively. The LOD (µg/L) was 2.54 (Al), 4.35 (As), 1.75 (Cd), 0.99 (Cr), 2.56 (Cu), 4.87 (Fe), 3.25 (Hg), 1.26 (Mn), 1.48 (Ni), 4.36 (Pb), and 2.45 (Zn). The LOQ (μg/L) was 8.75 (Al), 14.20 (As), 5.65 (Cd), 3.25 (Cr), 8.48 (Cu), 16.36 (Fe), 10.23 (Hg), 4.42 (Mn), 4.95 (Ni), 16.23 (Pb), and 7.95 (Zn). The intra-day and inter-day repeatability showed the good precision. The precision expressed as relative standard deviations, was found to be 0.80-1.79% for intra-day analysis (n = 10) and 0.98-2.98% for inter-day analysis (n = 10).

Heavy Metal Concentrations: The botanical name, Thai name, English name, and part used of 30 herbal tea samples were shown in Table 1. The average concentrations of 11 heavy metals (Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn) in 30 herbal tea samples and the permissible limits of 6 heavy metals (As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn) defined by the Prevention of Herbal Tea Adulteration Act [8] are shown in Table 2. Under the Prevention of Herbal Tea Adulteration Act,



the permissible limits of As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn were 0.2, 0.3, 5, 15, 0.5 and 5 mg/Kg, respectively.

Table 2: The concentrations (mg/Kg) of 11 heavy metals in herbal tea samples (n=3).

| No | Botanical name | | The concentrations (mg/Kg) of 11 heavy metals | | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------|-------|---|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| | | As | Cd | Pb | Zn | Fe | Cu | Al | Mn | Ni | Cr | Hg |
| 1 | Andrographis | ND | 0.016 | 6.027 | 38.997 | 35.918 | 3.993 | 55.274 | 24.974 | 0.570 | 0.292 | ND |
| | paniculata | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Caesalpinia sappan | ND | ND | ND | 5.527 | 16.694 | 2.032 | 22.053 | 5.487 | 0.304 | ND | ND |
| 3 | Camellia sinensis | 0.013 | 0.011 | 5.175 | 26.303 | 70.374 | 9.474 | 473.171 | 307.698 | 8.567 | 0.063 | ND |
| 4 | Carthamus tinctorius | ND | 0.001 | 5.026 | 28.980 | 190.802 | 7.153 | 253.188 | 27.896 | 0.557 | 0.107 | ND |
| 5 | Cassia alata | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.176 | 43.305 | 40.000 | 5.824 | 64.646 | 58.257 | 0.431 | 0.062 | 0.001 |
| 6 | Centella asiatica | 0.001 | 0.082 | 4.436 | 44.986 | 81.109 | 7.058 | 121.312 | 86.924 | 0.322 | 0.144 | ND |
| 7 | Cinnamomum verum | 0.010 | 0.074 | 13.597 | 39.209 | 36.163 | 6.816 | 315.428 | 20.544 | 20.759 | 0.068 | ND |
| 8 | Clitoria ternatea | 0.008 | 0.053 | 22.425 | 47.301 | 49.160 | 11.285 | 47.404 | 46.058 | 30.960 | 0.085 | ND |
| 9 | Curcuma xanthorrhiza | ND | 0.003 | 0.176 | 0.676 | 22.597 | 0.054 | 36.920 | 18.015 | 8.718 | 0.053 | 0.001 |
| 10 | Cymbopogon citratus | ND | 0.030 | 6.147 | 35.528 | 58.944 | 5.531 | 60.757 | 129.980 | 0.480 | 0.141 | 0.001 |
| 11 | Derris scandens | ND | 0.018 | 6.524 | 44.734 | 81.759 | 5.272 | 166.350 | 75.989 | 16.730 | 0.135 | ND |
| 12 | Eupatorium odoratum | 0.012 | 0.009 | 4.304 | 36.807 | 43.552 | 11.256 | 53.587 | 86.386 | 12.816 | 0.123 | 0.001 |
| 13 | Ganoderma Lucidum | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.168 | 23.421 | 43.789 | 5.704 | 60.368 | 19.874 | 10.019 | 0.221 | 0.003 |
| 14 | Ginkgo biloba | 0.001 | 0.086 | 7.374 | 32.083 | 192.427 | 7.219 | 265.232 | 32.427 | 9.819 | 0.163 | ND |
| 15 | Glycyrrhiza glabra | ND | ND | ND | 6.618 | 14.340 | 2.938 | 18.888 | 26.144 | 0.599 | 1.010 | ND |
| 16 | Hibiscus sabdariffa | 0.003 | 0.030 | 0.140 | 33.650 | 36.219 | 11.073 | 77.761 | 142.485 | 0.515 | 0.074 | ND |
| 17 | Imperata cylindrica | 0.007 | 0.003 | 6.903 | 18.073 | 184.464 | 7.394 | 324.287 | 22.979 | 0.557 | 0.088 | 0.001 |
| 18 | Jasminum sambac | 0.007 | 0.018 | 5.153 | 34.890 | 17.923 | 10.872 | 28.021 | 41.031 | 9.613 | 0.074 | ND |
| 19 | Lagerstroemia | 0.014 | 0.006 | 11.062 | 41.986 | 43.914 | 7.189 | 72.330 | 178.073 | 9.820 | 0.085 | 0.002 |
| | speciosa | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Momordica charantia | ND | ND | 0.108 | 14.207 | 61.734 | 19.323 | 34.271 | 70.309 | 2.678 | 0.408 | 0.013 |
| 21 | Moringa oleifera | ND | ND | ND | 1.979 | 22.328 | 0.792 | 35.208 | 33.249 | 1.065 | 0.085 | ND |
| 22 | Morus alba | ND | 0.010 | 4.887 | 37.582 | 68.476 | 5.361 | 62.192 | 33.208 | 12.352 | 0.141 | ND |
| 23 | Murdannia loriformis | 0.003 | 0.012 | 4.036 | 86.454 | 90.078 | 7.084 | 43.261 | 93.960 | 23.952 | 0.137 | ND |
| 24 | Nelumbo nucifera | 0.007 | 0.008 | 4.103 | 43.376 | 0.200 | 15.464 | 47.117 | 96.906 | 64.058 | 0.042 | ND |
| 25 | Orthosiphon aristatus | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.144 | 26.145 | 87.079 | 5.349 | 56.227 | 20.852 | 0.378 | 0.073 | 0.001 |
| 26 | Piper sarmentosum | ND | 0.003 | 1.210 | 46.061 | 67.053 | 10.000 | 128.638 | 81.047 | 21.974 | 0.089 | 0.001 |
| 27 | Senna alexandrina | ND | ND | 0.117 | 17.185 | 21.349 | 12.654 | 24.229 | 92.366 | 4.929 | 3.294 | 0.019 |
| 28 | Stevia rebaudiana | ND | 0.033 | 0.210 | 22.010 | 35.471 | 0.328 | 115.673 | 69.981 | 0.019 | 0.040 | 0.002 |
| 29 | Thunbergia lauriflolia | 0.002 | 0.009 | 4.529 | 49.063 | 45.913 | 13.816 | 56.783 | 170.145 | 0.513 | 0.065 | 0.002 |
| 30 | Tiliacora triandra | 0.005 | 0.005 | 4.171 | 43.402 | 68.807 | 5.289 | 63.348 | 74.800 | 12.404 | 0.074 | ND |
| | Permissible limits | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 5 | 15 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |

ND: not determined, SD: 0.001-0.025

As shown in Table 2, the concentrations of As (\leq 0.014 mg/Kg) and Cd (0.001-0.086 mg/Kg) in all samples were less than permissible limits (As 0.2 and Cd 0.3 mg/Kg). The concentrations of Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn were in the range of 0.054-19.323, 0.200-192.427, \leq 22.425, and 0.676-86.454 mg/Kg, respectively. Among a total of 30 samples, the concentrations of Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn in almost all samples (Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn were 24, 29, 19, and 28 samples, respectively) were higher than permissible limits (Cu 5, Fe 15, Pb 0.5, and Zn 5 mg/Kg). The highest concentrations of Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn in herbal tea samples were found in



Momordica charantia (Cu 19.323 mg/Kg), *Ginkgo biloba* (Fe 192.427 mg/Kg), Clitoria ternatea (Pb 22.425 mg/kg), and Murdannia loriformis (Zn 86.454 mg/Kg) as shown in Table 2. It was suggested that the almost herbal tea samples might be unsafe for tea drinkers since the concentrations of Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn were found in excess of permissible limits.

The concentrations of Al, Mn, and Ni were in the range of 18.888-473.171, 5.487-307.698, and 0.019-64.058 mg/Kg, respectively as shown in Table 2. The highest concentrations of Al, Mn, and Ni in herbal tea samples were found in *Camellia sinensis* (Al 473.171 and Mn 307.698 mg/Kg) and Nelumbo nucifera (Ni 64.058 mg/Kg). However, the Act established in 2004 did not mention the permissible limits of these 3 heavy metals. These experimental data suggested that herbal teas were major sources of Al, Mn, and Ni. According to the literature data, trace heavy metals might have adverse effects on human health such as accumulation of Al in tea infusion was associated with Alzheimer's disease [9], and exposure to very high level of Mn in drinking-water was known to cause neurological effects [10]. Furthermore, Ni was one of the most important heavy metals in terms of its potential toxicity to plants and animals [11]. With respect to the acceptable daily intake of Al, Mn, and Ni as toxic heavy metals in tolerable daily dietary and safety standards, these analyzed samples might be dangerous for human consumption.

Cr might cause adverse effects such as lung cancer and liver damage [12]. Chronic exposure to high level of Hg in herbal teas might cause damage to brain, kidney, and lung [13]. However, the concentrations of Cr and Hg were \leq 3.294 and \leq 0.019 mg/Kg, respectively (Table 2). Furthermore, the concentrations of Cr and Hg in all analyzed samples were very scanty (Table 2), according to previous report [14]. Therefore, the herbal tea samples might be safe for Cr and Hg.

CONCLUSION

It was concluded that the herbal tea samples collected in Nakhon Pathom province, Thailand contained high concentrations of Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn, Al, Mn, and Ni and low concentrations of As, Cd, Cr, and Hg. Based upon the permissible limits set by the Prevention of Herbal Tea Adulteration Act, all samples of herbal teas were found to be unsafe for human consumption.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was kindly supported by the Office of the National Research Council of Thailand and the Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Thailand.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dufresne CJ, Farnworth ER. J Nutr Biochem 2001; 12: 404-21.
- [2] Kara D. Food Chem 2009; 114: 347-54.
- [3] Gouugh LP, Shacklette HT, Case AA. US Geol Surv Bull 1979; 1466: 80.



- [4] Limmatvapirat C, Charoenteeraboon J, Phaechamud T. Res J Pharm Biol Chem Sci 2012; 3(1): 744-50.
- [5] WHO: Determination of arsenic and heavy metals. Quality control methods for medicinal plant materials. Report Geneva WHO, 1998, pp. 61-3.
- [6] Marcos A, Fischer A, Rea G, Hill SJ. J Anal Atom Spectrom 1998; 13: 521-5.
- [7] Commission Regulation (EC) No. 333/2007 of 28 March 2007 laying down the methods of sampling and analysis for the official control of the levels of lead, cadmium, mercury, inorganic tin, 3-MCPD and benzo(a)pyrene in foodstuffs.
- [8] Ministry of Public Health. The Prevention of Herbal Tea Adulteration Act. Thailand, 2004.
- [9] Koch KR, Pougnet MA, de Villiers S, Monteagudo F. Nature 1988; 333: 122.
- [10] Powell JJ, Burden TJ, Thompson RPH. Analyst 1998; 123: 1721-4.
- [11] Natesan S, Ranganathan V. J Food Sci Agr 1990; 51: 125-39.
- [12] Robles-Camacho J, Armienta MA. J Geochem Explor 2000; 68: 167-81.
- [13] Cao H, Qiao L, Zhang H, Chen J. Sci Total Environ 2010; 408: 2777-84.
- [14] Yemane M, Chandravanshi BS, Wondimu T. Ethiopia Food Chem 2008; 107: 1236-43.